



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,886	04/15/2004	Richard David Taylor	MP2209-156672	1435
65589	7590	02/06/2008	EXAMINER	
SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 S.W. FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204			RILEY, MARCUS T	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2625		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/826,886	TAYLOR ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Marcus T. Riley	2625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date attached.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claim 1-6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry et al. (US 6,112,275 hereinafter, Curry '275) in combination with Smith et al. (US 6,762,733 B2 hereinafter, Smith '733).

**Regarding claim 1;** Curry '275 discloses a programmable interface comprising (*"The presently preferred embodiment also uses an electrical interface to the tokens, which permits interfacing to tokens with a wide variety of computers, including a tremendous variety of personal or other computers, as long as the computer includes an interface to RS232 (or some comparable standard). The token has a one-wire-bus interface, implemented in a battery-backed open-collector architecture, which provides a read/write interface. The communication protocol expected by the token has been specified so that the token never sources current to the data line, but only sinks current. The communication protocol also includes time-domain relations which are referenced to a very crude time base in the token, and the system must preserve timing relations which will be satisfied by tokens in which the time base takes on any of the wide range of foreseeable speeds. To interface to this protocol, the programmable capabilities of the standard UART chip in the computer's RS232 interface are exploited to provide adaptation to the*

*time base requirements of the module.” column 10, lines 3-22): a register file having registers, each register having a type (“FIGS. 9A and 9B are two parts of a single Figure which shows the control logic used, in the presently preferred embodiment, in the integrated circuit of FIG. 6. After the protocol register 920 has been loaded, counter chain 910 counts successive clock pulses. (Every falling edge on the data line will lead to a clock pulse within the module, and these pulses are counted by counter 920.) The counter 910 is also connected to logic which will intercept the clock signal (to freeze the count), and activate signal 210, as soon as 256 bits of data have been read or written. (Note that the counter chain shown actually includes two more stages than are needed. This permits ready modification for 1024-bit embodiments.) Register 920 receives the protocol word. The stages of this register are connected so that a RESET will set the first stage, and clear the other stages.” column 15, lines 64-67 thru column 16, lines 1-11); a Code Store SRAM, bidirectionally communicating with the microcontroller (“Among the teachings set forth in the present application is a low-power low-voltage Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) six-transistor static random access memory (SRAM)...” column 4, lines 3-6). See also (“After microcontroller 2404 or 2414 resets module 2400 and waits the 480 microseconds with bus 2402 or 2412 released and detects the presence signal of module 2400, it then serially writes the eight bits 0000 1111 (0F in hexadecimal) forming the read command into the command register of module 2400...” column 51, lines 4-9); see also (“A one-to-three wire bidirectional transceiver enables this operation and could be based on converter 2102 by coupling the DATA.sub.-- IN and DATA.sub.-- OUT to a single mode. The second layer commands and register 2104, decode 2106, and ROM and control 2108 could also be part of a one-to-three wire transceiver, see FIG. 37A. A possible implementation of this*

*transceiver would require a great deal of additional circuitry and signals to control the data direction (i.e. whether the bidirectional D/Q port was configured as an input or an output). ” column 64, lines 53-62); and executable code, loaded onto the Code Store RAM (“Counter 2810 can be read over the 1-wire bus by a host in a manner similar to reading the RAM of module 2100: the host resets module 2800 (counter 2810 does not reset except by a command in the command register), and then loads the Read Counter command into the command register and then reads the contents of counter 2810.” column 57, lines 26-32); a microcontroller bidirectionally communicates with the register file and the run control register (“After microcontroller 2404 or 2414 resets module 2400 and waits the 480 microseconds with bus 2402 or 2412 released and detects the presence signal of module 2400, it then serially writes the eight bits 0000 1111 (0F in hexadecimal) forming the read command into the command register of module 2400... ” column 51, lines 4-9). See also (“A one-to-three wire bidirectional transceiver enables this operation and could be based on converter 2102 by coupling the DATA.sub.-- IN and DATA.sub.-- OUT to a single mode. The second layer commands and register 2104, decode 2106, and ROM and control 2108 could also be part of a one-to-three wire transceiver, see FIG. 37A. A possible implementation of this transceiver would require a great deal of additional circuitry and signals to control the data direction (i.e. whether the bidirectional D/Q port was configured as an input or an output). ” column 64, lines 53-62).*

Curry '275 does not expressly disclose a run control register; wherein the Code Store SRAM and the run control register bidirectionally communicates with a system processor.

Smith '733 discloses a run control register ("The registers 160, 162 and 164 are also coupled to a non-volatile RAM controller 170 which generates a chip select signal for non-volatile RAM 178. Non-volatile RAM 178 is addressed from the SNES address bus and receives write control signal and read control via chip enable as shown in FIG. 7. The contents of boot /run register 164 as well as SNES reset and ROM select signals are coupled to EPROM controller 172 which generates a chip select signal at the appropriate time to read the EPROM 180." column 13, lines 32-40); wherein the Code Store SRAM and the run control register bidirectionally communicates with a system processor ("As shown in FIG. 6 various power lines and bidirectional control lines are also coupled to the memory board..." column 11, lines 54-56). ("The registers 160, 162 and 164 are also coupled to a non-volatile RAM controller 170 which generates a chip select signal for non-volatile RAM 178. Non-volatile RAM 178 is addressed from the SNES address bus and receives write control signal and read control via chip enable as shown in FIG. 7. The contents of boot /run register 164 as well as SNES reset and ROM select signals are coupled to EPROM controller 172 which generates a chip select signal at the appropriate time to read the EPROM 180. The EPROM controller 172 receives an address from the Super NES address bus. The EPROM may be written in response to an SNES write control signal. Each of the pseudo-static RAM 174, SRAM 176, non-volatile RAM 178 and boot ROM 180 is coupled to the SNES address and data buses." column 13, lines 32-45).

Curry '275 and Smith '733 are combinable because they are from same field of endeavor of communication systems ("This invention relates generally to digital communications..." Smith '733 at column 1, lines 18-19).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the communication system as taught by Curry '275 by adding a run control register; wherein the Code Store SRAM and the run control register bidirectionally communicates with a system processor as taught by Smith '733.

The motivation for doing so would have been because it advantageous permit hotel guests to actively participate in video game play or to use other data processing/communication services ("The present invention on is directed to a video game /communications system which permits hotel guests to actively participate in video game play or to use other data processing/communication services." Smith '733 at column 5, lines 1-2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Curry '275 with Smith '733 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

**Regarding claim 2;** Curry '275 discloses a device where the types of the registers are selected from a group that includes timer, General purpose, external I/O, internal I/O, shared, and interrupt ("Further preferred embodiment circuitry for chips 0130 and 0130' in the modules of FIGS. 1A-B are shown schematically in FIGS. 21, 22A, 22B, 22D, and 22G. FIG. 21 is a top level schematic of the embodiment, denoted generally 2100, which includes a single input-output terminal IO, a 1-wire converter 2102, an 8-bit command shift register 2104, a command decoder 2106, ROM and control 2108, secure RAM 2110, multiplexer 2112, power supply battery 2114, and battery test circuit 2116. Embodiment 2100 receives and transmits serially over the IO terminal..." column 36, lines 52-56).

**Regarding claim 3;** Curry '275 discloses a device wherein when one of the registers has a type of external I/O, the register including edge detect logic ("FIGS. 9A and 9B are two parts

*of a single Figure which shows the control logic used, in the presently preferred embodiment, in the integrated circuit of FIG. 6. After the protocol register 920 has been loaded, counter chain 910 counts successive clock pulses. (Every falling edge on the data line will lead to a clock pulse within the module, and these pulses are counted by counter 920.) The counter 910 is also connected to logic which will intercept the clock signal (to freeze the count), and activate signal 210, as soon as 256 bits of data have been read or written. (Note that the counter chain shown actually includes two more stages than are needed. This permits ready modification for 1024-bit embodiments.) Register 920 receives the protocol word. The stages of this register are connected so that a RESET will set the first stage, and clear the other stages. Thus, when a 1 propagates through to the last stage, a protocol word has been loaded.” column 15, lines 64-67 thru column 16, lines 1-13).*

**Regarding claim 6;** Curry ‘275 discloses a device wherein the executable code is selected from a group that includes serial interfaces, parallel interfaces, serial peripheral interface (SPI), Synchronous Serial Interface (SSI), MicroWire, Inter Integrated Circuit (I2C), control area network (CAN), UART, IEEE1284, LCD interface, front panel interface, and MODEM (“*To interface to this protocol, the programmable capabilities of the standard UART chip in the computer's RS232 interface are exploited to provide adaptation to the time base requirements of the module. This is done by writing an entire byte of output from the UART, at a much higher baud rate than the module can be relied on to accept, to write a single bit of data into the module. The read-data line (RX) of the UART is tied back to the transmit-data line (TX) through a resistor, so that the UART will also always report a read of the same data byte being written, unless the token has turned on its pull-down transistor.*” column 10, lines 18-28).

3. **Claim 4** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry '275 and Smith '733 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smith '733.

**Regarding claim 4;** Curry '275 and Smith '733 does not expressly disclose a device wherein the register type further includes FIFO registers, operative to communicate with a direct memory access controller.

Smith '733 does discloses a device wherein the register type further includes FIFO registers, operative to communicate with a direct memory access controller ("Memory board 102 additionally includes a control decoder 182 that is coupled to the SNES address lines. In response to signals received on the SNES address lines, control decoder 182 couples a "data ready" signal to microcontroller 190, a "read" signal to first-in first-out (FIFO) buffer 184, provides a "data shift in" signal to latch 188 (which receives data from the SNES data lines) which, in turn, shifts data out to microcontroller 190. The FIFO 184 receives high speed downloaded information from microcontroller 190 and stores such data in response to the "write" signal generated by MCU 190. The control decoder 182, in response to a read control signal on its input address lines triggers a read operation from FIFO 184. If there is no data available in FIFO 184 upon request, a "data not ready" signal is generated by FIFO 184 which is coupled to control decoder 182 and to the SNES data lines. To write data to MCU 190, the SNES processor checks the "busy" line" which indicates if MCU 190 can receive data. If MCU 190 can receive data, then one byte is shifted in latch 188, which, in turn, activates the "Busy" signal by sending a "Input Strobe" signal. If MCU 190 cannot receive data, SNES continues to check the "busy" signal." column 13, lines 61-67 thru column 14, lines 1-15).

Curry '275 and Smith '733 are combinable with Smith '733 because they are from same field of endeavor of communication systems ("This invention relates generally to digital communications..." Smith '733 at column 1, lines 18-19).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the communication system as taught by Curry '275 and Smith '733 by adding a device wherein the register type further includes FIFO registers, operative to communicate with a direct memory access controller as taught by Smith '733.

The motivation for doing so would have been because it advantageous to permit hotel guests to actively participate in video game play or to use other data processing/communication services ("The present invention is directed to a video game /communications system which permits hotel guests to actively participate in video game play or to use other data processing/communication services." Smith '733 at column 5, lines 1-2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Curry '275 and Smith '733 with Smith '733 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

4. **Claim 5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry '275 and Smith '733 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ueda (US 5,631,637 hereinafter, Ueda '637).

**Regarding claim 5;** Curry '275 and Smith '733 does not expressly disclose a device wherein the executable code implements a laser printer mechanism communications interface and a vertical top-of-page synchronization interface.

Ueda '637 discloses a device wherein the executable code implements a laser printer mechanism communications interface and a vertical top-of-page synchronization interface

(“*When the printing data of a page are developed in the bit map memory 17, the main control unit 18 sends a printing start signal 121 to a printing mechanism shown in FIG. 2. Said printing mechanism is of so-called raster scanning type, such as a laser beam printer, and releases a horizontal synchronization (BD) signal 122 and a vertical synchronization signal 123 when the printing operation is enabled.*” column 4, lines 7-14).

Curry ‘275 and Smith ‘733 are combinable with Ueda ‘637 because they are from same field of endeavor of communication systems (“*The present embodiment has been explained by a configuration employing a laser beam printer, but the present invention is not limited to such configuration and is applicable to any equipment that can effect wireless or cable exchange of data with an external equipment, such as a printer of other types, a display apparatus, a memory apparatus or a communication apparatus.*” Smith ‘733 at column 6, lines 6-12).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the communication system as taught by Curry ‘275 and Smith ‘733 by adding a device wherein the executable code implements a laser printer mechanism communications interface and a vertical top-of-page synchronization interface as taught by Ueda ‘637.

The motivation for doing so would have been because it advantageous to provide an output apparatus for receiving data from an external equipment (“*Still another object of the present invention is to provide an output apparatus for receiving data from an external equipment...*” Smith ‘733 at column 2, lines 3-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Curry ‘275 and Smith ‘733 with Ueda ‘637 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus T. Riley whose telephone number is 571-270-1581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:00, est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler L. Haskins can be reached on 571-272-7406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

  
Marcus T. Riley  
Assistant Examiner  
Art Unit 2625

  
TWYLER LAMB HAS KINS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER